March 1, 2004

Conservation Security Program Comments ATTN: David McKay NRCS Conservation Operations Division P.O. Box 2890 Washington, DC 20013

As a vegetable and poultry farmer from Callaway County, Missouri, I am writing to suggest important changes to the USDA's proposed rules on the Conservation Security Program (CSP). CSP should be open to all farmers in the U.S. practicing effective conservation, just like it was passed in the 2002 farm bill.

I have two primary concerns with USDA's proposed rules:

- CSP was supposed to be available nationwide to all farmers using good conservation practices on their land. The USDA needs to get rid of the idea of restricting CSP to a few "selected watersheds" and undefined "categories." This could leave out thousands of farmers who are looking to make their operations more environmentally sustainable.
- The USDA's proposed rules cut the intended payments for environmental benefits being produced by farmers currently practicing effective conservation. The best way to secure the vital conservation of our soil and other resources is to recognize and reward it when and where it is being done. Paying the best practitioners for results is sound economics and smart policy, providing both reward and motivation. CSP base payments should be set, at the local rental rates based on land capability without the 90% reduction proposed by USDA. Enhanced payments should reward the most environmentally-beneficial systems and to the maximum extent possible pay for results. The enhanced payments should not be treated as cost-share but rather as real bonuses to reward exceptional performance.

I hope that you will address these concerns and make CSP a fully-funded program open to all farmers in the country who are willing to implement good conservation practices.

Thank you.

Bryce Oates

6197 Winding Road

Fulton, MO 65251

Bruce I. Knight, Chief Conservation Operations Division Natural Resources Conservation Services PO Box 2890 Washington, DC 20013-2890

Re: Conservation Security Program

Dear Chief Bruce I. Knight:

I am writing to urge you to please have the Conservation Security Program be a fully working program for all of our family farms out here in western Minnesota, and across the country. Congress made this law and approved plenty of money to make it work for our farms and our environment.

The new proposed rule that we have heard about is terrible. It would limit the conservation money to just a few farmers in a few isolated spots in our country. This is not what our farmers and other conservation minded Americans asked Congress to do, and not what Congress did.

This proposed rule also would have the money going just to a few farmers who are already doing good conservation practices. One of the best ideas about the CSP program was that it would get money to farmers who couldn't afford to do any good conservation practices yet on their farm fields. We have heard that it would also be unfair to farmers who had already changed their row crops to pastures, which is a better conservation use.

Our lakes and especially our creeks and rivers are polluted from agricultural runoff. We want this stopped, and the money going to our farmers would be tremendous for our small town communities out here in western Minnesota. Please change the rules so that the CSP program does what it was intended to do.

(bckemai)

Sincerely.

Laura Ascheman

204 3<sup>rd</sup> St. N.

Danvers, MN 56231

647

February 25, 2004

Conservation Security Program Comments ATTN: David McKay NRCS Conservation Operations Division P.O. Box 2890 Washington, DC 20013

When the Conservation Security Program was passed in the 2002 farm bill, I was excited about having a source of support for bringing some more sustainable growing practices into my operation. Like most farmers, I want to make sure that my operation is good for the land, water and air. CSP could help me make sure I'm doing all that I can for the environment.

However, then I heard that USDA is proposing that CSP only be implemented in certain watersheds. This is a program that should be open to all farmers in the U.S.A. It shouldn't be open to a handful of lucky farmers who happen to live in a watershed selected by USDA.

Please read the farm bill and make sure that CSP is open to all farmers. That is the only way it can be a popular program that supports farmers and the environment at the same. Thank you for your time.

Andrew Read 4318 Glaser Hollow Road Bland, MO 65014 February 27, 2004

Conservation Security Program Comments ATTN: David McKay NRCS Conservation Operations Division P.O. Box 2890 Washington, DC 20013

I own and operate a grocery store that sells the foods produced by sustainable family farmers from all over Missouri. I also raise organic vegetables for the store on my farm in Callaway County, Missouri. Many of the farmers who provide food for our store are interested in utilizing the proposed Conservation Security Program—CSP. This program could provide a valuable investment for the environment by increasing the number of farmers who use sustainable practices on their farm.

I have several problems with USDA's proposed rules on CSP that I hope you will address:

- CSP should allow farmers with USDA-approved organic certification plans under the National Organic Program to simultaneously certify under both the National Organic Program and CSP, if they meet the standards of both. No need to tie farmers up in red tape.
- 2.) The USDA's proposed rules cuts the economic benefits being produced by farmers currently practicing effective conservation. The best way to secure the vital conservation of our soil and other resources is to recognize and reward it when and where it is being done. Paying the best practitioners for results is sound economics and smart policy, providing both reward and motivation. CSP base payments should be set at the local rental rates based on land capability without the 90% reduction proposed by USDA.
- 3.) USDA must adhere to the law, and to the recently appropriated full funding of CSP by Congress, and make CSP available nationwide to all farmers practicing effective conservation. USDA's "preferred approach" in the proposed rule would severely and unnecessarily prevent most farmers from gaining access to the CSP. The USDA needs to get rid of the idea of restricting sign-up for CSP to a few "selected watersheds" and undefined "categories."

Thank you for addressing these concerns. If CSP is implemented as it was intended, it will be a very popular program that benefits farmers, consumers and the environment alike.

Walker Claridge The Root Cellar 21 North Providence Columbia, MO 65203 February 28, 2004

Conservation Security Program Comments ATTN: David McKay NRCS Conservation Operations Division P.O. Box 2890 Washington, DC 20013

The Conservation Security Program, if implemented correctly, could be an important source of funding for family farmers like me. This program could help us implement more environmentally friendly growing practices on our operations. Unfortunately, the proposed rules on CSP have changed the program for the worse.

First, USDA is proposing to fund CSP in certain watersheds only. CSP was supposed to be an entitlement for all farmers in the country willing to participate, not a handful of farmers in a select few geographic areas. USDA should make sure that CSP is open to all farmers who want to participate.

Second, USDA is proposing that CSP payments be cut by substantial amounts. In the farm bill version of CSP, farmers would have been rewarded significantly for their participation. The proposed rules cut those payments to as low as 10 cents per enrolled acre. That is not even worth the time it takes to fill out the paperwork. USDA should keep the same payment amounts as was agreed to in the 2002 farm bill.

Thank you for considering these concerns. Please implement CSP as it was passed in the farm bill, not as it is being proposed by USDA's revised rules.

Ron Perry 15090 LIV 228 Chillicothe, MO 64601